

Book Review: Henri Lefebvre, Rhythmanalysis: Space, Time and Everyday Life

Horton, Dave

Postprint / Postprint
Rezension / review

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit / provided in cooperation with:
www.peerproject.eu

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Horton, D. (2005). Book Review: Henri Lefebvre, Rhythmanalysis: Space, Time and Everyday Life. [Review of the book *Rhythmanalysis: space, time and everyday life*, by H. Lefebvre]. *Time & Society*, 14(1), 157-159. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0961463X0501400105>

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter dem "PEER Licence Agreement zur Verfügung" gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zum PEER-Projekt finden Sie hier: <http://www.peerproject.eu> Gewährt wird ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht-kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments müssen alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgendeiner Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Mit der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

gesis
Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

Terms of use:

This document is made available under the "PEER Licence Agreement". For more Information regarding the PEER-project see: <http://www.peerproject.eu> This document is solely intended for your personal, non-commercial use. All of the copies of this documents must retain all copyright information and other information regarding legal protection. You are not allowed to alter this document in any way, to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public.

By using this particular document, you accept the above-stated conditions of use.

Mitglied der

Leibniz-Gemeinschaft

References

- Adams, C. J. (1997) 'Mad Cow Disease and the Animal Industrial Complex. An Eco-feminist Analysis', *Organisation & Environment* 10(1).
- Bravermann, H. (1974/1999) *Labor and Monopoly Capital: The Degradation of Work in the Twentieth Century*. New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Corradi, L. (1991/2003) *Il tempo rovesciato*. Milano: Angeli.
- Corradi, L. (1998) 'Le città just-in-time. Per una critica del tempo neoliberale', in G. Paolucci (ed.) *La città macchina del tempo*. Milano: Angeli.
- Harvey, D. (1990) *The Condition of Postmodernity: an Enquiry Into the Origins of Cultural Change*. Cambridge: Blackwell.
- Hochschild, A. R. (1997) *The Time Bind: When Work Becomes Home and Home Becomes Work*. New York: Metropolitan Books.
- Leccardi, C. (1996) *Futuro breve*. Torino: Rosenberg & Sellier.
- Odi, P. (2003) 'Gender, Work and Organization in the Time/Space Economy of Just in Time Labour', *Time & Society* 12(2/3): 293–314.
- Rubin, L. (1976/1992) *Worlds of Pain. Life in the Working Class Family*. New York: Basic Books.
- Southerton, D. (2003) 'Squeezing Time. Allocating Practices, Coordinating Networks and Scheduling Society', *Time & Society* 12(1): 5–25.

Laura Corradi, University of Calabria, Arcavacata, Italy

Henri Lefebvre, Rhythmanalysis: Space, Time and Everyday Life. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 160 pp. ISBN 0826469930, £55 (hbk); 0826472990, £15.99 (pbk).

This little book brings together Henri Lefebvre's key writings on time, in English, for the first time. *Rhythmanalysis* contains the first full English translation of *Éléments de rythmanalyse*, published in 1992, shortly after Lefebvre's death, together with two earlier essays, jointly written with his last wife Catherine Régulier, 'The Rhythmanalytical Project' and 'Attempt at the Rhythmanalysis of Mediterranean Cities'. In sum, it provides the most thorough account of Lefebvre's work on time yet available to an English-speaking audience and, as such, it really demands to be read.

In an insightful introduction, Stuart Elden, Lefebvre scholar and one of the book's translators, suggests that the recent emphasis, among anglophone scholars at least, on Lefebvre's work on space has been to the detriment of his lifelong concern with time and, more especially, the conjunction of time and space in rhythm. *Rhythmanalysis* redresses this imbalance, making clear that Lefebvre was a theorist of time as much as of space and, above all else, insisted on the importance of thinking space and time together: spaces are timed; times are spaced. The clearest articulation of one of Lefebvre's key points is provided late in the book, in the co-authored 'Attempt at the Rhythmanalysis of Mediterranean Cities':

concrete times have rhythms, or rather are rhythms – and all rhythms imply the relation of a time to a space, a localised time, or, if one prefers, a temporalised space. Rhythm is always linked to such and such a place, to its place, be that the heart, the fluttering of the eyelids, the movement of a street or the tempo of a waltz. This does not prevent it from being a time, which is to say an aspect of a movement or of a becoming. (p. 89)

Lefebvre wants to develop our awareness and appreciation of rhythms, to advocate rhythmanalysis as a vocation. The apprentice rhythmanalyst must listen to her body, ‘learn rhythm from it, in order consequently to appreciate external rhythms’ (p. 19). She ‘will come to “listen” to a house, a street, a town, as an audience listens to a symphony’ (p. 22). For the world is moving, everywhere and everything, without exception, is rhythm. In the first chapter, ‘The Critique of the Thing’, Lefebvre declares: ‘nothing inert in the *world*, *no things*: very diverse rhythms, slow or lively (in relation to *us*)’ (p. 17). If the rhythmanalyst ‘considers a stone, a wall, a trunk, he understands their slowness, their interminable rhythm’ (p. 20).

The new rhythmanalysts would be the standard-bearers of the science which it is the book’s stated ambition to found. Although some social scientists – Barbara Adam springs quickly to mind – are clearly pursuing something akin to the kind of rhythmanalysis advocated by Lefebvre, it is obviously not necessary to become a strict rhythmanalyst to see the benefits of thinking and analysing rhythmically. Because what *Rhythmanalysis* does, and very successfully, is to increase the reader’s sensitivity to the diverse, multiple rhythms of everyday life. The concrete rhythmanalysis of a Parisian junction seen from Lefebvre’s apartment window, brings to mind speeded-up cinematic footage of the incessant flows of urban life, with cars and pedestrians alternately waiting and then streaming across road intersections. Description of the rhythms of trees across the seasons – the comings and goings of leaves, flowers, fruit and seeds – is another reminder that rhythms surround us, if only we pay attention, with all our senses. It’s certainly the case that, having engaged with this work, I’m more consciously listening, attending, to the trains passing in the night, the car engines coming to life and the shouts of school children at the start of the day, and – as I settle at my desk – the street falling quiet again once the early morning peak in noise subsides. Lefebvre’s work encourages rhythmanalysis as a sensibility, as close to the poetic as the scientific. The rhythmanalyst is ‘more sensitive to times than to spaces, to moods than to images, to the atmosphere than to particular events’ (p. 87).

Rhythms have specific characteristics, which Lefebvre explores. Wherever time, space and an expenditure of energy coincide, there is rhythm (p. 15). Rhythm is always relative; faster rhythms imply slower rhythms, and vice versa. Silence is a rhythm as much as the noise of hubbub, which the rhythmanalyst can dissect to identify the specific rhythms of which it is composed. Rhythms are about repetition, yet repetition produces difference. We need to be outside of rhythms to notice and analyse rhythms (p. 88). Usually taken for granted, rhythms become clearer with their breakdown, the onset of arrhythmia. It is when providing specific insights into the workings and analysis of rhythms that I think Lefebvre is at his best. His

rhythmanalysis urges us towards a more cadenced understanding of the worlds, whichever worlds, we choose to investigate.

Lefebvre's is never a naïve analysis of rhythms, of course. The Marxist roots of his analysis are rarely far from the surface, he never loses sight of how everyday rhythms are produced elsewhere as well as here, by what is not obviously present (though embedded in architecture, in space), by the structuring rhythms of the state and capital. For Lefebvre, humans are produced both by 'natural' rhythms of respiration and the heart and the 'social' rhythms of contemporary cultural processes. These rhythms converge on the body, in 'the everyday'. 'The everyday is simultaneously the site of, the theatre for, and what is at stake in a conflict between great indestructible rhythms and the processes imposed by the socio-economic organisation of production, consumption, circulation and habitat' (p. 73).

Overall, this is a welcome addition to Lefebvre's work available in English. As the most comprehensive translation of his studies of time and rhythm so far, it will be of very wide interest. Yes, Lefebvre's writing can be infuriatingly hard to follow, and repays substantial effort, concentration and revisiting. But this work on time is remarkably transdisciplinary and, correspondingly, there is something here for anyone interested in time, irrespective of disciplinary perspective. Whoever we are, *Rhythmanalysis* will enrich our theoretical and empirical investigations of time.

Dave Horton, Lancaster University, UK

Sandy Torres, Travels in Time, in Fiction and in Science. Paris: L'Harmattan, 2004. ISBN 2-7637-8069-5.

According to a recent poll in *The Guardian* (<http://film.guardian.co.uk>) scientists' favourite science-fiction (SF) films are, in order, *Blade Runner*, *2001: A Space Odyssey* and the trilogy of *Star Wars*. Magnetic Tarkovski's *Solaris* and other famous movies follow in the list. The reasons of this choice, according to physicists and biologists, must be found in the way these films use science as an integral part of their narrative, making difficult concepts understandable and helping new theories to penetrate common people's imagination and language. This is also the idea of sociologist Sandy Torres, who researched science-fiction films, focusing on time.

'Science-fiction films and the knowledge of time' form the central focus of this compelling book which examines and analyses more than 150 films, with the author undertaking more than a quarter of this work. The first part of the volume deals with the most relevant ideas of time in philosophy, in physics, in sociology, in narratology, discussing ancient sources, classical metaphors of time and the contemporary state-of-the-art. While there are some significant omissions, such as details of the work of J. T. Fraser and Paul Davis, the author provides a clear engagement with competing definitions in this huge and interdisciplinary field.